



Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

**FERMILAB-Pub-92/384-E
E731**

Simultaneous Measurement of K_S and K_L Decays into $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

**E.J. Ramberg et al
The E731 Collaboration**

*Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory
P.O. Box 500, Batavia, Illinois 60510*

December 1992

Submitted to *Physical Review Letters*



Operated by Universities Research Association Inc. under Contract No. DE-AC02-76CH03000 with the United States Department of Energy

Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Simultaneous Measurement of K_S and K_L Decays into $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

E.J. Ramberg, G.J. Bock, R. Coleman, J. Enagonio,
Y.B. Hsiung, K. Stanfield, R. Tschirhart and T. Yamanaka^(a)

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510

A.R. Barker^(b), R.A. Briere, L.K. Gibbons, G. Makoff, V. Papadimitriou^(c),
J.R. Patterson^(d), S. Somalwar, Y.W. Wah, B. Winstein, R. Winston,
M. Woods^(e) and H. Yamamoto^(f)

*The Enrico Fermi Institute and the Department of Physics,
The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, 60637*

E.C. Swallow

*Department of Physics, Elmhurst College, Elmhurst, Illinois 60126 and
The Enrico Fermi Institute, The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, 60637*

G. Blair^(g), G.D. Gollin^(h), M. Karlsson⁽ⁱ⁾, and J.K. Okamitsu^(j)

Department of Physics, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544

P. Debu, B. Peyaud, R. Turlay, and B. Vallage

*Department de Physique des Particules Elementaires, Centre d'Etudes
Nucleaires de Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France*

Abstract

With the E731 apparatus at Fermilab, we have simultaneously collected 6859 K_L and K_S decays into $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$. Using our large sample of $\pi^+\pi^-$ decays for normalization we have determined that the ratio $\Gamma(K^\circ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma)/\Gamma(K^\circ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)$ is $(23.0 \pm 0.7) \cdot 10^{-3}$ for K_L and $(7.10 \pm 0.22) \cdot 10^{-3}$ for K_S , for photon energies greater than 20 MeV in the kaon center-of-mass. After removing the inner-bremsstrahlung contribution, we find that the photon energy spectrum of the direct emission decay of the K_L is consistent with the presence of a vector meson propagator in the form factor.

It has long been recognized^{1,2} that the decays $K_{L,S} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ may hold promise for illuminating the mechanisms of CP violation. The K_L decay also provides a testing ground for models based on chiral perturbation theory³⁻⁵ which are relevant to understanding CP violation in rare decays such as $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ e^-$. A critical issue in these models is whether the direct-emission (DE) photon energy distribution is characterized by a pure magnetic dipole (M1) transition or manifests some additional energy dependence.⁵ In this letter we report the most precise results to date for the $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ branching ratios and demonstrate the presence of a modification to the M1 amplitude in this decay. In the following letter we demonstrate $K_L - K_S$ interference in this mode for the first time and extract new CP violation parameters for the decay.⁶

The $K_S \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ decay is dominated⁷ by inner-bremsstrahlung (IB) in which a pion from the decay into $\pi^+\pi^-$ radiates a photon. However, for K_L decays, the IB rate is suppressed because the underlying $\pi^+\pi^-$ decay is CP violating. This permits the more interesting CP conserving DE process, in which the photon originates from the primary decay vertex, to be significant.

The IB decay can be described very well with a pure E1 bremsstrahlung spectrum.⁷ In contrast, previous experimental results⁸ have supported the idea that the DE decay occurs through an M1 amplitude, modified by a form factor, F , which includes the effects of vector meson intermediaries. One chiral perturbation model of the decay³ suggests that F is a sum of two terms, one of which contains the ρ meson propagator:

$$F = a_1 \cdot ((M_\rho^2 - M_K^2) + 2M_K E_\gamma^*)^{-1} + a_2 \quad (1)$$

where E_γ^* is the energy of the photon in the center-of-mass system. The constants M_ρ and M_K are the masses of the neutral rho meson and neutral kaon. The coefficients a_1 and a_2 depend on the mixing angle $\theta_{\eta-\eta'}$ for the SU(3) nonet members η and η' . This formulation leads to an energy spectrum for the emitted photon that is shifted lower in energy than the pure M1 spectrum. In other models, however, F consists of a sum of amplitudes which results in no net shift in the DE photon energy spectrum.^{4,5}

The $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ decays studied here were collected by the E731 experiment at Fermilab, which measured the direct CP violation parameter ϵ'/ϵ and concentrated on high acceptance and accurate measurement of two pion decays, both neutral and charged.⁹ The apparatus, described in detail elsewhere,¹⁰

consisted of a vacuum decay vessel followed by a magnetic spectrometer. This spectrometer included four drift chambers, each incorporating four wire planes with approximately 100 micron resolution. An analyzing magnet was located between the second and third drift chambers. Following the drift chambers was an array of lead glass blocks for photon detection, with an energy resolution for photons of $2.5\% + 5\%/\sqrt{E}$. Two K_L beams, one of which passed through a regenerator, allowed K_S and K_L decays to be collected simultaneously.

The trigger for charged decays, of which the $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ decays are a subset, demanded that two charged tracks traverse the detector on either side of the vertical midplane at the second drift chamber. No requirement was made on energy in the lead-glass array. In the offline analysis, each event was required to contain two tracks of momentum between 7 and 80 GeV/c, satisfying the trigger requirement. In addition there had to be exactly one cluster of energy of at least 1.5 GeV in the calorimeter not associated with either track. The photon energy in the kaon center-of-mass system had to be at least 20 MeV. To exclude electrons from the sample, we required $\frac{E}{p} < 0.8$, where E is the cluster energy associated with a track and p is the momentum of that track. Each event was also required to have a total kaon energy between 30 and 160 GeV, a reconstructed mass between 484 and 512 MeV/c² and a total transverse momentum squared of less than 250 (MeV/c)². To suppress the background from $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decays with one undetected photon, a cut was made on the variable

$$P_{\pi^0}^2 = \frac{[(M_{K^0}^2 - M_{\pi^0}^2 - M_c^2)^2 - 4M_{\pi^0}^2 M_c^2 - 4M_{K^0}^2 (p_T)_c^2]}{[(p_T)_c^2 + M_c^2]} \quad (2)$$

where M_c is the invariant mass of the two pions and $(p_T)_c$ is their combined transverse momentum. For $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decays this is proportional to the squared longitudinal momentum of the π^0 in the K center of mass. Barring resolution effects, $P_{\pi^0}^2$ is positive for that decay. By making our cut of $P_{\pi^0}^2 < -.05$ the background from the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decay was reduced by approximately a factor of 80, while retaining 86% of the $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ decays, as determined from Monte Carlo simulations.

Figure 1 shows the resulting mass and p_T^2 spectra of the events in both beams passing all other cuts. (The events observed in the vacuum beam are mostly K_L decays, while those in the regenerator beam are mostly K_S decays.) The backgrounds are small. There are 7042 decays that pass all of

the cuts, 3841 of which are associated with the regenerator beam and 3201 with the vacuum beam. The background under the mass peak was estimated to be 65 ± 10 for the vacuum beam and 25 ± 15 for the regenerator beam by using the sidebands in the p_T^2 and mass distributions.

To isolate the separate components of the K_L decay coming from DE and IB, the shape of the photon energy spectrum was used. Figure 2 shows the center of mass photon energy spectrum for the K_L decays with the K_S decay spectrum superimposed. The K_S spectrum is shown plotted with the normalization determined by fitting the K_L data to a linear combination of the K_S spectrum and a pure DE spectrum obtained from a Monte Carlo simulation containing the ρ propagator correction given in Equation 1. This normalized K_S spectrum can then be associated with the IB component of the K_L decays; when subtracted from the total spectrum the DE component for K_L decays is then determined. The shape of the DE spectrum obtained in this way is not sensitive to the IB background subtraction, within the errors we observe in the fit.

An additional background for the regenerator beam which passes the event cuts is due to the K_L DE component which decays downstream of the regenerator. The K_L IB component which decays downstream of the regenerator is not considered a background, but is regarded as part of the K_L - K_S coherent mixture. This latter component will be accounted for because all results for branching ratios are normalized with respect to the two charged pion decay, where the same mixture occurs. The number of DE decays downstream of the regenerator position was estimated using the vacuum beam decays and the known absorption of kaons in our regenerator. The estimate for this DE background in the regenerator beam is 93 ± 5 events.

A Monte Carlo simulation of the apparatus was used to determine the acceptance for this decay mode. The acceptance of our apparatus using the cuts detailed above was 10.1% for K_L decays and 21.1% for K_S decays. The number of reconstructed K_S and K_L decays into two charged pions from the same data set (totalling 370 thousand K_L decays and 1.2 million K_S decays) were used to normalize the $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ yields after correcting for their own acceptance (approximately 25% for K_L and 44% for K_S).

A final correction was made because the requirement of having exactly one unmatched cluster in the calorimeter excludes some $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ events. These events contain extra clusters of energy associated with the beam or with the showering of either of the charged pions in the lead glass. An analysis was

Table 1: Measured Branching Ratios for $K_{L,S} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$. All errors are combined statistical and systematic. $E_\gamma^* > 20$ MeV except where noted.

Decay Mode	No. of Evts.	$\frac{\Gamma(K \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma)}{\Gamma(K \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)}$	B.R.
K_L	3136 ± 58	$(23.0 \pm 0.6) \cdot 10^{-3}$	$(4.66 \pm .15) \cdot 10^{-5}$
K_L (DE only)	1937 ± 65	$(15.7 \pm 0.7) \cdot 10^{-3}$	$(3.19 \pm .16) \cdot 10^{-5}$
K_L (IB only)	1199 ± 58	$(7.31 \pm 0.38) \cdot 10^{-3}$	$(1.49 \pm .08) \cdot 10^{-5}$
K_S	3723 ± 64	$(7.10 \pm 0.16) \cdot 10^{-3}$	$(4.87 \pm .11) \cdot 10^{-3}$
K_S ($E_\gamma^* > 50$ MeV)	1286 ± 39	$(2.56 \pm 0.09) \cdot 10^{-3}$	$(1.76 \pm .06) \cdot 10^{-3}$

made of our large sample of $\pi^+\pi^-$ decays and it was determined that our acceptance for $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ events was reduced by $9.5\% \pm .3\%$ due to this effect.

The final results for the numbers of events (after all selection cuts and background subtractions) and branching ratios of neutral kaons into $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ are given in Table 1. Also shown, for comparison to a previous result⁷, is the branching ratio for K_S decays where $E_\gamma^* > 50$ MeV. All errors quoted are combined statistical and systematic errors.

Systematic errors include the errors in background subtraction and extra cluster correction quoted above. An uncertainty of .25% in the normalization of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ decays is also part of the systematic error. Finally, an estimate for the error in the acceptance correction of 1.5% was obtained from the maximum variance seen in the Monte Carlo simulation after varying the cut values in the analysis.

Previous experimental results include $(1.52 \pm 0.16) \cdot 10^{-5}$ for the K_L IB decay with $E_\gamma^* > 20$ MeV and $(2.89 \pm 0.28) \cdot 10^{-5}$ for the K_L DE decay⁸. No previous results exist for the branching ratio of the K_S IB decay above 20 MeV photon energy, but above 50 MeV, the best previous result was $\Gamma(K_S \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma)/\Gamma(K_S \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) = (2.68 \pm 0.15) \cdot 10^{-3}$.⁷ The QED prediction for this ratio is $2.56 \cdot 10^{-3}$ for $E_\gamma^* > 50$ MeV and $7.01 \cdot 10^{-3}$ for $E_\gamma^* > 20$ MeV.⁷ All of these results are consistent with the data presented here.

The ratio of the IB branching ratio to the two charged pion decay is consistent for K_L and K_S decays. Any deviations from this expectation would be a possible sign of direct CP violation in this decay mode. The

fraction of K_L decays with $E_\gamma^* > 20$ MeV that are DE decays is $.685 \pm .041$.

A comparison of the shape of the K_L DE photon energy spectrum to the one predicted by Equation 1 is shown in Figure 3. Also shown in this figure is the comparison of the data to the DE energy spectrum of a pure M1 amplitude, one without the ρ propagator form factor. The data are consistent with the energy dependent modification to the standard M1 amplitude given in Equation 1. The best fit to the data using the model from reference 4 gave $-20^\circ \pm 1^\circ$ for $\theta_{\eta-\eta'}$, in good agreement with the commonly accepted value¹¹. In the notation of Equation 1 this gives $\frac{a_1}{a_2} = -1.8 \pm 0.2$.

Most models assume that the radiative decays occur strictly through a dipole transition. Higher multipole transitions may lead to a CP violating asymmetry of the photon direction in the $\pi^+\pi^-$ decay frame.¹ For the K_L data sample, we measured the average value of $\cos(\theta)$ as a function of E_γ^* , where θ is defined as the angle between the photon and the positive pion in the pion-pion rest frame. No statistically significant departure from the dipole expectation was seen in this data.

In conclusion, we have measured branching ratios for $K_{L,S} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ with improved precision. Our measurement of the K_S photon energy spectrum enabled us to separate the IB and DE components in the K_L decays. Our results agree both with previous measurements and with calculations of the IB component. The DE photon spectrum in K_L decays shows clear evidence of additional energy dependence beyond the basic M1 shape.

We wish to thank Fermilab for the operation of the Tevatron and the MC beam line during the running of this experiment. This work was supported in part by the Department of Energy, the National Science Foundation, and the French Atomic Energy Commission. Two of us (G.D.G. and Y.W.W.) would like to acknowledge the support of the Department of Energy Outstanding Junior Investigator Awards. One of us (A.R.B.) acknowledges the support of the Robert R. McCormick Foundation, and one of us (Y.W.W.) wishes to thank the Enrico Fermi Institute of the University of Chicago for support provided by a Block Grant Award.

References

- (^a)Current address: Physics Department, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560 Japan
- (^b)Current address: University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309
- (^c)Current address: Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL 60510
- (^d)Current address: Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853
- (^e)Current address: SLAC, Stanford, P.O. Box 4349, CA 94305
- (^f)Current address: Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138
- (^g)Current address: University of Oxford, Oxford, OX13RH U.K.
- (^h)Current address: Department of Physics, U. of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801
- (ⁱ)Current address: CERN, CH-1211, Geneva 23, Switzerland
- (^j)Current address: Princeton Combustion Research Laboratories, Monmouth Junction, NJ 08852
- ¹ G. Costa and P.K. Kabir, *Nuovo Cimento* **A 51**, 564 (1967)
- ² L.M. Sehgal and L. Wolfenstein, *Phys. Rev.* **162**, 1362 (1967)
- ³ Y.C.R. Lin and G. Valencia, *Phys. Rev. D* **37**, 143 (1988)
- ⁴ C. Picciotto, *Phys. Rev. D* **45**, 1569 (1992)
- ⁵ P. Ko and T.N. Truong, *Phys. Rev. D* **43**, R4 (1991)
- ⁶ E. Ramberg, et.al.; to be published.
- ⁷ H. Taureg *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. B* **65**, 92 (1976)
- ⁸ A. Carroll *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **44**, 529 (1980)
- ⁹ J.R. Patterson *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 1491 (1990)
- ¹⁰ M. Woods *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60**, 1695 (1988) ; see also J.R. Patterson's Ph.D. thesis, University of Chicago, (1990)
- ¹¹ J.F. Donoghue, B.R. Holstein, and Y.C. Lin, *Nucl. Phys. B* **277**, 651 (1986)




Figure 1: a) Mass spectrum of vacuum beam events passing all cuts except for the mass cut (solid). Shown as background (dashed) is the same distribution with the cut $250 < p_T^2 < 500$ (MeV/c)². b) Mass spectrum and background of regenerator beam events. c) p_T^2 spectrum of vacuum beam events passing all cuts except for the p_T^2 cut. The background estimate comes from the side bands on either side of the mass region $.484 < M_{\pi\pi\gamma} < .512$ (GeV/c²). d) p_T^2 spectrum and background for the regenerator beam events. (Arrows show cut values.)




Figure 2: The data points indicate the E_γ^* spectrum for the K_L data. The dotted line is the same spectrum for the K_S data, normalized as indicated in the text.




Figure 3: a) The DE E_γ^* spectrum for K_L decays (data points) compared to the prediction with an energy dependent modification to the M1 amplitude (dashed). b) Same spectrum compared to the prediction without the modification.